

WEAKLY NEARLY- R_0 SPACES

T. M. NOUR* and AHMAD T. J. NOUR

Department of Mathematics
University of Jordan
Amman
Jordan
e-mail: tnour@ju.edu.jo

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to define and study weakly nearly- R_0 spaces which comes out to be weaker than the nearly- R_0 axiom due to Jain [2].

1. Introduction

In a topological space X , a set A is called regular open [6] if $\text{int}(\text{cl}A) = A$. The complement of a regular open set is called regular closed [6]. Obviously a set A is regular closed if $A = \text{cl}(\text{int} A)$. Since the intersection of any two regular open sets is regular open, the family of all regular open sets of a space (X, τ) form a base for some topology τ^* . It is clear that $\tau^* \subseteq \tau$. A space (X, τ) is called semi-regular [6]

Keywords and phrases: δ - open sets, δ - closed sets, δ - kernel, δ - closure, nearly- R_0 spaces.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54D10.

*Corresponding author

Received September 3, 2020; Accepted September 24, 2020

iff $\tau = \tau^*$. Velicko [6] has defined δ -open sets. A set A is δ -open if for each $x \in A$, there exists a regular open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq A$. The complement of a δ -open set is called δ -closed [6]. The closure of a set A in (X, τ^*) is denoted by $\delta\text{cl}(A)$. A subset A of X is δ -closed iff $A = \delta\text{cl}(A)$.

N. A. Shanin [5] first defined the R_0 axiom. A space (X, τ) is called R_0 [1] iff for each $U \in \tau$, $x \in U$ implies $\text{cl}(x) \subseteq U$.

A. S. Davis [1] rediscovered the axiom R_0 and gave several interesting characterizations. Jain [2] defined a space X to be nearly- R_0 if for each δ -open set O and each $x \in O$, $\delta\text{cl}\{x\} \subseteq O$.

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce weakly nearly- R_0 spaces and obtain its basic properties.

Definition 1. A space X is said to be nearly- R_0 [2] if for each δ -open set O and each $x \in O$, $\delta\text{cl}\{x\} \subseteq O$.

Definition 2. A space X is said to be weakly nearly- R_0 iff $\bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{x\} = \phi$.

It is evident that every nearly- R_0 space is weakly nearly- R_0 . But the converse need not be true as can be seen from the following example:

Example 1. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and let $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Then the space $\{X, \tau\}$ is weakly nearly- R_0 as $\bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{x\} = \phi$. But it is not nearly- R_0 . For, $\{a, c\}$ is δ -open and $a \in \{a, c\}$, but $\delta\text{cl}\{a\} = \{a, c, d\} \not\subseteq \{a, c\}$.

Definition 3. A space X is said to be weakly- R_0 [3] iff $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{cl}\{x\} = \phi$.

Theorem 1. A space (X, τ) is weakly nearly- R_0 iff (X, τ^*) is weakly- R_0 .

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 2. *Every weakly nearly- R_0 space is weakly- R_0 .*

Proof. The proof is obvious in view of the fact that

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{cl}\{x\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{x\} \text{ for any } x \in X.$$

The converse of the above Theorem is not true as is shown by the following example:

Example 2. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and let $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then the space (X, τ) is weakly- R_0 as $\bigcap_{x \in X} \text{cl}\{x\} = \phi$. But

it is not weakly nearly- R_0 since $\bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{x\} = \{d\}$.

Definition 4 [4]. Let X be a topological space and $x \in X$. Then the δ -kernel of x is $\delta \ker\{x\} = \bigcap \{U : U \text{ is } \delta\text{-open and } x \in U\}$.

Theorem 3. *A space X is weakly nearly- R_0 iff $\delta \ker\{x\} \neq X$ for any $x \in X$.*

Proof. Necessity: Let $a \in X$ such that $\delta \ker\{a\} = X$. This means that a is not contained in any proper δ -open subset of X . Thus a belongs to the δ -closure of every singleton. Hence $a \in \bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{x\}$, which is a contradiction.

Sufficiency: Assume that $\delta \ker\{x\} \neq X$ for any $x \in X$. If there is a point $a \in X$ such that $a \in \bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{x\}$, then every δ -open set containing a must contain every point of X . Therefore, the unique δ -open set containing a is X . Hence $\delta \ker\{a\} = X$, which is a contradiction. Thus X is weakly nearly- R_0 .

Definition 5. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be pre- δ -closed if the image of every δ -closed set in X is δ -closed in Y .

Theorem 4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a pre- δ -closed injection. If X is weakly*

nearly- R_0 , then so is Y .

Proof. We have

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{y\} \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{f(x)\} \subseteq f\left(\bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{x\}\right) = f(\phi) = \phi.$$

Hence Y is weakly nearly- R_0 .

Theorem 5. *If a space X is weakly nearly- R_0 , then for any space Y , the product space $X \times Y$ is weakly nearly- R_0 .*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{(x,y) \in X \times Y} \delta\text{cl}\{(x,y)\} &\subseteq \bigcap_{(x,y) \in X \times Y} \{\delta\text{cl}\{x\} \times \delta\text{cl}\{y\}\} \\ &\subseteq \bigcap_{x \in X} \delta\text{cl}\{x\} \times \bigcap_{y \in Y} \delta\text{cl}\{y\} \subseteq \phi \times Y = \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the product space $X \times Y$ is weakly nearly- R_0 .

References

- [1] A. S. Davis, Indexed systems of neighborhoods for general topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 68 (1961), 886-893.
- [2] R. C. Jain, The role of regularly open sets in topological spaces, Ph.D. thesis, Meerut University, India, 1982.
- [3] J. D. Maio, Weakly- R_0 spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (1985), 373-375.
- [4] T. M. Nour, Nearly- R_0 and almost regular spaces, Dirasat: Pure Sciences 2(26) (1999), 179-183.
- [5] N. A. Shanin, On separation in topological spaces, Doklady URSS 48 (1943), 110-113.
- [6] N. V. Velicko, On H-closed spaces, Mat. Sb. 20 (1965), 98-112.