

THE INTERPOLATIVE BERINDE WEAK MAPPING THEOREM IN CONTROLLED METRIC TYPE SPACES

CLEMENT BOATENG AMPADU

31 Carrolton Road
Boston, MA 02132-6303
USA
e-mail: drampadu@hotmail.com

Abstract

Motivated by [1], we prove the interpolative Berinde weak mapping theorem of [2] in the setting of controlled metric type spaces.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Definition 1.1 ([3]). Let X be a nonempty set and $\theta : X \times X \mapsto [1, \infty)$. An extended b -metric is a function $d : X \times X \mapsto [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (a) $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$,
- (b) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (c) $d(x, y) \leq \theta(x, y)[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$.

Keywords and phrases: fixed point, interpolative Berinde weak mapping, controlled metric type spaces.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Received October 8, 2020; Accepted March 9, 2021

Moreover, we say (X, d) is an extended b -metric space.

Definition 1.2 ([1]). Let X be a nonempty set, and $\alpha : X \times X \mapsto [1, \infty)$. The function $d : X \times X \mapsto [0, \infty)$ is called a controlled metric type, if for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (a) $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$,
- (b) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (c) $d(x, y) \leq \alpha(x, z)d(x, z) + \alpha(z, y)d(z, y)$.

Moreover, we say (X, d) is a controlled metric type space.

Example 1.3 ([1]). Take $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Consider the function d given as

$$d(0, 0) = d(1, 1) = d(2, 2) = 0,$$

$$d(0, 1) = d(1, 0) = 1,$$

$$d(0, 2) = d(2, 0) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$d(1, 2) = d(2, 1) = \frac{2}{5}.$$

Take $\alpha : X \times X \mapsto [1, \infty)$ to be symmetric and defined as

$$\alpha(0, 0) = \alpha(1, 1) = \alpha(2, 2) = \alpha(0, 2) = 1,$$

$$\alpha(1, 2) = \frac{5}{4}, \alpha(0, 1) = \frac{11}{10}.$$

It follows that d is a controlled metric type space, but is not an extended b -metric space, since

$$d(0, 1) = 1 > \frac{99}{100} = \alpha(0, 1)[d(0, 2) + d(2, 1)].$$

Definition 1.4 ([1]). Let (X, d) be a controlled metric type space, and $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence in X .

(a) We say that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to some $x \in X$, if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. In this case we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

(b) We say that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \geq N$.

(c) The controlled metric type space (X, d) is called complete, if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 1.5 ([1]). Let (X, d) be a controlled metric type space. Let $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

(a) The open ball $B(x; \epsilon)$ is

$$B(x; \epsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}.$$

(b) The mapping $T : X \mapsto X$ is said to be continuous at $x \in X$, if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$T(B(x, \delta)) \subseteq B(Tx, \epsilon).$$

Remark 1.6 ([1]). If T is continuous at x in the controlled metric type space (X, d) , then $x_n \rightarrow x$ implies that $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete controlled metric type space. Let $T : X \mapsto X$ be a mapping such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x, Tx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x, y \notin \text{Fix}(T) = \{x \in X : Tx = x\}$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. For $x_0 \in X$, take $x_n = T^n x_0$. Suppose that

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})}{\alpha(x_{i+1}, x_m)} < \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

In addition, assume that for every $x \in X$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x_n, x) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x, x_n) \text{ exist and are finite.}$$

Then the fixed point of T exist.

Proof. Consider the sequence $\{x_n = T^n x_0\}$, and observe we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \\ &= d(T(T^{n-1} x_0), T(T^n x_0)) \\ &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &= d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \lambda d(x_n, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_n, Tx_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \lambda d(x_n, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_n, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \lambda d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

The two chain of inequalities immediately above implies $d(x_1, x_2) \leq \lambda d(x_0, x_1)$ and $d(x_2, x_3) \leq \lambda^2 d(x_0, x_1)$. By induction we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Now let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n < m$, using the fact that $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, observe we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha(x_{n+1}, x_m)d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha(x_{n+1}, x_m)\alpha(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \alpha(x_{n+1}, x_m)\alpha(x_{n+2}, x_m)d(x_{n+2}, x_m) \\ &\leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha(x_{n+1}, x_m)\alpha(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + \alpha(x_{n+1}, x_m)\alpha(x_{n+2}, x_m)\alpha(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) \\ &\quad + \alpha(x_{n+1}, x_m)\alpha(x_{n+2}, x_m)\alpha(x_{n+3}, x_m)d(x_{n+3}, x_m) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \alpha(x_j, x_m) \right) \alpha(x_i, x_{i+1})d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ &\quad + \prod_{k=n+1}^{m-1} \alpha(x_k, x_m)d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})\lambda^n d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \alpha(x_j, x_m) \right) \alpha(x_i, x_{i+1})\lambda^i d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + \prod_{k=n+1}^{m-1} \alpha(x_k, x_m)\lambda^{m-1}d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})\lambda^n d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \alpha(x_j, x_m) \right) \alpha(x_i, x_{i+1})\lambda^i d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \prod_{k=n+1}^{m-1} \alpha(x_k, x_m) \lambda^{m-1} \alpha(x_{m-1}, x_m) d(x_0, x_1) \\
& = \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \alpha(x_j, x_m) \right) \alpha(x_i, x_{i+1}) \lambda^i d(x_0, x_1) \\
& \leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \alpha(x_j, x_m) \right) \alpha(x_i, x_{i+1}) \lambda^i d(x_0, x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$S_p = \sum_{i=0}^p \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \alpha(x_j, x_m) \right) \alpha(x_i, x_{i+1}) k^i.$$

It follows we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(x_0, x_1) [k^n \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (S_{m-1} - S_n)].$$

Since

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})}{\alpha(x_{i+1}, x_m)} < \frac{1}{\lambda},$$

then by the ratio test, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ exists, and hence the real sequence $\{S_n\}$ is Cauchy. Now taking limits in the inequality below

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(x_0, x_1) [k^n \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (S_{m-1} - S_n)],$$

we deduce that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0.$$

So $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in the controlled metric type space (X, d) . Since (X, d) is complete, $\{x_n\}$ converges to some $u \in X$. We show u is a fixed point of T . By

using the controlled triangle inequality, we deduce the following

$$d(u, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha(u, x_n)d(u, x_n) + \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+1}).$$

Since $\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})}{\alpha(x_{i+1}, x_m)} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x_n, x)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x, x_n)$

exist and are finite, and $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, we deduce the following

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Using the controlled triangle inequality again we deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu) &\leq \alpha(u, x_{n+1})d(u, x_{n+1}) + \alpha(x_{n+1}, Tu)d(x_{n+1}, Tu) \\ &\leq \alpha(u, x_{n+1})d(u, x_{n+1}) + \lambda\alpha(x_{n+1}, Tu)d(x_n, u)^{\frac{1}{2}}d(x_n, Tx_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \alpha(u, x_{n+1})d(u, x_{n+1}) + \lambda\alpha(x_{n+1}, Tu)d(x_n, u)^{\frac{1}{2}}d(x_n, x_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x_n, x)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x, x_n)$ exist and are finite, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u, x_{n+1}) = 0$, if we take limits in the above inequality as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce $d(u, Tu) = 0$, that is $u = Tu$, and the fixed point exists.

3. Open Problem

We begin with the following

Definition 3.1 ([1]). Let $T : X \mapsto X$. For some $x_0 \in X$, let $O(x_0) = \{x_0, Tx_0, T^2x_0, \dots\}$ be the orbit of x_0 . A function $H : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be T -orbitally lower semi-continuous at $v \in X$, if for $\{x_n\} \subset O(x_0)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow v$, we have

$$H(v) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(x_n).$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, we have the following

Conjecture 3.2. Let (X, d) be a complete controlled metric type space. Let $T : X \mapsto X$ be a mapping such that

$$d(Tz, T^2z) \leq \lambda d(z, Tz)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(z, Tz)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda d(z, Tz)$$

for all $z \in O(x_0)$, $z \notin \text{Fix}(T)$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Take $x_n = T^n x_0$. Suppose that

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})}{\alpha(x_{i+1}, x_m)} < \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Then $x_n \rightarrow u \in X$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Moreover, such u verifies $Tu = u$ iff the functional $x \rightarrow d(x, Tx)$ is T -orbitally lower semi-continuous at u .

References

- [1] Nabil Mlaiki, Hassen Aydi, Nizar Souayah and Thabet Abdeljawad, Controlled metric type spaces and the related contraction principle, *Mathematics* 6 (2018), 194.
- [2] Clement Boateng Ampadu, Some fixed point theory results for the interpolative-Berinde weak operator, *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 4(2) (2020), 253-271.
- [3] T. Kamran, M. Samreen and Q. Ul Ain, A generalization of b -metric space and some fixed point theorems, *Mathematics* 5 (2017), 1-7.