

## ON SOLUTIONS OF INTEGRO QUASI-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN $L^p$ -SPACES

**SOBHY EL-SAYED IBRAHIM**

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Basic Education  
Public Authority of Applied Education and Training (PAAET & KFAS)  
Kuwait  
e-mail: Sobhyelsayed\_55@hotmail.com

### Abstract

A general quasi-differential expression  $\tau$  of order  $n$  with complex coefficients and its formal adjoint  $\tau^+$  are considered in the space  $L_w^p(a, b)$ . In the case of one singular end-point and under suitable conditions on the function  $F(t, y)$ , we show that all solutions of a general integro quasi-differential equation  $[\tau - \lambda I]y(t) = wF(t, y)$ , ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ) are in  $L_w^p(a, b) \cap L^\infty(a, b)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  provided that all solutions of the homogeneous differential equations  $(\tau - \lambda I)u = 0$  and  $(\tau^+ - \bar{\lambda} I)v = 0$  are in  $L_w^p(a, b) \cap L^\infty(a, b)$ .

---

Keywords and phrases : quasi-differential expressions, Shin-Zettl matrix,  $L^p$ -spaces, quasi-derivatives and their adjoints, regular and singular end-points, integro quasi-differential equations, boundedness of  $L^p$ -solutions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B05, 34B24, 47A10, 47E05.

Received July 29, 2017; Accepted August 29, 2017

© 2017 Fundamental Research and Development International

### 1. Introduction

Wong et al. [14-17] considered the problem that all solutions of a perturbed linear differential equation belong to  $L^2(0, b)$  assuming the fact that all solutions of the unperturbed equation possess the same property. For an ordinary linear differential equations with real coefficients and under suitable conditions on the function  $F$ , they showed that all solutions of the equation

$$\tau[y] - \lambda wy = wF(t, y), \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \text{ on } [0, b), \quad (1.1)$$

are in  $L_w^2(0, b)$  provided that all those of the equations

$$(\tau - \lambda I)u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\tau^+ - \bar{\lambda}I)v = 0, \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \quad (1.2)$$

are in  $L_w^2(0, b)$ .

In [7-9], Ibrahim extends their results for a general quasi-differential expression  $\tau$  of arbitrary order  $n$  with complex coefficients, and considered the property of boundedness of solutions of a general integro quasi-differential equations.

Our objective in this paper is to extend the results in [6-9] and [14-18] to a general integro quasi-differential equations with their solutions in the space  $L_w^p(a, b)$ ,  $p \geq 2$ . Also, we show in the case of one singular endpoint and under suitable conditions on the integrand function  $F$  that all solutions of the general integro quasi-differential equation (1.1) are in  $L_w^p(a, b) \cap L^\infty(a, b)$  provided that all solutions of the homogeneous integro quasi-differential equations in (1.2) are in  $L_w^p(a, b) \cap L^\infty(a, b)$ .

### 2. Quasi-differential Operators on $L^p$ -spaces

We deal, throughout this paper, with a quasi-differential expression  $\tau$  of an arbitrary order  $n$  defined by a Shin-Zettl matrix in the  $L^p$ -space. The left-hand end-point of the interval  $I = [a, b)$  is assumed to be regular but the right-hand end-point may be either regular or singular.

First, we define the  $L^p$ -space.

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  denote either  $\mathbb{R}$ , the field of real numbers, or  $\mathbb{C}$ , the field of complex numbers. For some positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ , let  $\mathbb{M}_{n,m}$  denote the vector space of  $n \times m$  matrices with  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued entries and  $GL_m$  the subset of  $\mathbb{M}_m := \mathbb{M}_{m,m}$  consisting of all non-singular matrices. For  $A \in \mathbb{M}_{n,m}$ , let  $A^T$  denote the transpose and  $A^*$  the adjoint, i.e., the complex conjugate transpose of  $A$ .

If  $A$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{M}_{n,n}$  and  $I$  is an interval,  $B(I, A)$  denotes the set of Lebesgue measurable maps of  $I$  into  $A$  and  $AC_{loc}(I, A)$  the set of locally absolutely continuous maps. Measurable maps are regarded as equal if they are equal almost everywhere on  $I$ . Further we define

$$L^p(I, A) := \{y \in B(I, A) \mid |y|^p \text{ is Lebesgue-integrable}\},$$

$$\|y\|_{p,I} := \left( \int_I |y|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for all } y \in L^p(I, A) \text{ and } p \in [1, \infty),$$

$$L^\infty(I, A) := \{y \in B(I, A) \mid y \text{ is essential bounded}\},$$

$$\|y\|_{\infty,I} := \text{ess sup}_{x \in I} |y(x)| \text{ for all } y \in L^\infty(I, A),$$

$$L^p_{loc}(I, A) := \{y \in B(I, A) \mid y|_K \in L^p(K, A) \text{ for all compact}$$

subinterval  $K$  of  $I$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)\}$ .

If  $r \in [1, \infty)$ , then  $r' \in [1, \infty)$  is always chosen such that  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$ . We always assume that  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ . If  $L^p := L^p(I, \mathbb{K}^s)$  for some positive integer  $s$ , then  $(L^p)^* = L^{p'}$  for  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $L^1$  is a subspace of  $(L^\infty)^*$ , where  $(\cdot)^*$  denotes the complex conjugate transpose. We refer to [5] for more details.

Let  $I$  be an interval with end-points  $a, b$  ( $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ ), let  $n, s$  be positive integers and  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ . The quasi-differential expressions are defined in terms of

a Shin-Zettl matrix  $Z_{n,s}^{p,q}(I)$  on an interval  $I$ .

**Definition 2.1** [5, 12]. The set  $Z_{n,s}^{p,q}(I)$  of Shin-Zettl matrices on  $I$  consists of matrices are defined to be the sets of all lower triangular matrices  $F = \{f_{j,k}\}$  of the form

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f_{0,1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{n,1} & \cdots & f_{n,n+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

whose entries are complex-valued functions on  $I$  which satisfy the following conditions:

$$f_{0,1} \in L_{loc}^p(I, \mathbb{M}_s) \text{ and } f_{n,n+1} \in L_{loc}^q(I, \mathbb{M}_s), \quad f_{j,k} \in L_{loc}^p(I, \mathbb{M}_s)$$

$$\text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq \min\{j+1, n\}, \quad f_{j,j+1}(x) \in GL_s$$

$$\text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } x \in I. \quad (2.1)$$

For  $F \in Z_{n,s}^{p,q}(I)$ , we define  $\tilde{F}$  as the  $(n \times n)$  matrix obtained from  $F$  by removing the first row and the last column, i.e.,

$$\tilde{F} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1,1} & f_{1,2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ f_{n-1,1} & f_{n-1,2} & \cdots & f_{n-1,n} \\ f_{n,1} & f_{n,2} & \cdots & f_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 2.2** [5]. For  $\tilde{F} \in Z_{n,n}^{p,q}(I)$ , the quasi-derivatives associated with  $\tilde{F}$  are defined by

$$y_{\tilde{F}}^{[0]} := y_{\tilde{F}},$$

$$y_{\tilde{F}}^{[j]} := (f_{j,j+1})^{-1} \left\{ \left( y_{\tilde{F}}^{[j-1]} \right)' - \sum_{k=1}^j f_{j,k} y_{\tilde{F}}^{[k-1]} \right\}, \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1),$$

$$y_{\tilde{F}}^{[n]} := \left\{ \left( y_{\tilde{F}}^{[n-1]} \right)' - \sum_{k=1}^n f_{j,k} y_{\tilde{F}}^{[k-1]} \right\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the prime ' denotes differentiation.

The quasi-differential expression  $\tau_{\tilde{F}}$  associated with  $\tilde{F}$  is given by:

$$\tau_{\tilde{F}}[.] := i^n y_{\tilde{F}}^{[n]}, \quad (n \geq 2), \quad (2.3)$$

this being defined on the set:

$$V(\tau_{\tilde{F}}) := \{ y_{\tilde{F}} : y_{\tilde{F}}^{[j-1]} \in AC_{loc}(I, \mathbb{K}^n), 1 \leq j \leq n \},$$

where  $AC_{loc}(I, \mathbb{K}^n)$  denotes the set of functions which are locally absolutely continuous on every compact subinterval of  $I$ .

$$\text{For } y \in V(\tau_{\tilde{F}}), \text{ we define } Q_{\tilde{F}} y := \begin{pmatrix} y_{\tilde{F}}^{[0]} \\ \vdots \\ y_{\tilde{F}}^{[n-1]} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly the maps  $\tau_{\tilde{F}} : V(\tau_{\tilde{F}}) \rightarrow B(I, \mathbb{K}^n)$  and  $Q_{\tilde{F}} : V(\tau_{\tilde{F}}) \rightarrow AC_{loc}(I, \mathbb{K}^n)$  are linear.

In analogy to the adjoint and the transpose of a matrix, there are two different “(formal) adjoint” of a quasi-differential expression  $\tau$ , we refer to [2-5] and [7-10] for more details.

In the following, we always assume that  $\tilde{F} \in Z_{n,n}^{p,q}$  and  $\tau_{\tilde{F}} := \tau_{p,q}$ . The formal adjoint  $\tau_{p,q}^+$  of  $\tau_{p,q}$  is defined by the matrix  $\tilde{F}^+$  given by

$$\tilde{F}^+ = -J_n^{-1} \tilde{F}^* J_n, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\tilde{F}^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $\tilde{F}$  and  $J_n$  is the non-singular  $(n \times n)$  matrix

$$J_n = ((-1)^j \delta_{j, n+1-k})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} \quad (2.5)$$

$\delta$  being the Kronecker delta. If  $\tilde{F}^+ = f_{j,k}^+$ , then it follows that

$$f_{j,k}^+ = (-1)^{j+k+1} \bar{f}_{n-k+1, n-j+1}. \quad (2.6)$$

The quasi-derivatives associated with the matrix  $\tilde{F}^+$  in  $Z_{n,n}^{p,q}(I)$  are therefore

$$y_+^{[0]} := y, \\ y_+^{[j]} := (\bar{f}_{n-j, n-j+1})^{-1} \left\{ (y_+^{[j-1]})' - \sum_{k=1}^j (-1)^{j+k+1} \bar{f}_{n-k+1, n-j+1} y_+^{[k-1]} \right\}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$y_+^{[n]} := \left\{ (y_+^{[n-1]})' - \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n+k+1} \bar{f}_{n-k+1, 1} y_+^{[k-1]} \right\}, \\ \tau_{q', p'}^+[\cdot] := i^n y_+^{[n]} (n \geq 2) \text{ for all } y \in V(\tau_{q', p'}^+), \quad (2.8)$$

$$V(\tau_{q', p'}^+) := \{y : y_+^{[j-1]} \in AC_{loc}(I, \mathbb{K}^n), 1 \leq j \leq n\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Note that:  $(\tilde{F}^+)^+ = \tilde{F}$  and so  $(\tau_{q', p'}^+)^+ = \tau_{p, q}$ . We refer to [2-5], [7-10] and [19, 20] for a full account of the above and subsequent results on a quasi-differential expressions.

For  $u \in V(\tau_{p, q})$ ,  $v \in V(\tau_{q', p'}^+)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in I$ , we have Green's formula

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \{\bar{v} \tau_{p, q}[u] - \overline{u \tau_{q', p'}^+[v]}\} dx = [u, v](\beta) - [u, v](\alpha), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$[u, v](x) = i^n \left( \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{r+n+1} u^{[r]} v_+^{[n-r-1]} \right)(x) \\ = (-i)^n (Q_{\tilde{F}}^T u J_{n \times n} Q_{\tilde{F}} \bar{v})(x)$$

$$= (-i)^n (u, u^{[1]}, \dots, u^{[n-1]}) J_{n \times n} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{v}_+^{[n-1]} \end{pmatrix} (x), \quad (2.11)$$

see [2-5] , [7-10] and [19]. Let  $w : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-negative weight function with  $w \in L_{loc}^1(I)$  and  $w > 0$  (for almost all  $x \in I$ ). Then  $H^r = L_w^r(I, \mathbb{K}^n)$  denotes the Hilbert function space of equivalence classes of Lebesgue measurable functions such that

$$\|y\|_{r,I} := \left( \int_I |y|^r w \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \text{ for all } y \in L^r(I, \tilde{F}) \text{ and } r \in [1, \infty). \quad (2.12)$$

The equation

$$\tau_{p,q}[u] - \lambda w u = 0, \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \text{ on } I, \quad (2.13)$$

is said to be *regular* at the left end-point  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , if for all  $X \in [a, b)$ ,

$$a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad w, f_{j,k} \in L^1[a, X], \quad j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

otherwise (2.13) is said to be *singular* at  $a$ . If (2.13) is regular at both end-points, then it is said to be regular; in this case we have

$$a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad w, f_{j,k} \in L^1[a, b], \quad j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.14)$$

We shall be concerned with the case when  $a$  is a regular end-point of the equation (2.13), the end-point  $b$  being allowed to be either regular or singular. Note that, in view of (2.6), an end-point of  $I$  is regular for (2.13), if and only if it is regular for the equation

$$\tau_{p,q}^+[v] - \bar{\lambda} w v = 0, \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \text{ on } I. \quad (2.15)$$

### 3. $L_w^p$ -Solutions

In this section, we shall be concerned with  $L_w^p$ -solutions of the integro quasi-

differential equations, and we denote for  $\tau_{p,q}$  by  $\tau$  and  $\tau_{p,q}^+$  by  $\tau^+$ .

Denote by  $S(\tau)$  and  $S(\tau^+)$  the sets of all solutions of the equations

$$[\tau - \lambda_0 I]u = 0, \quad (\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$[\tau^+ - \bar{\lambda}_0 I]v = 0, \quad (\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}), \quad (3.2)$$

respectively. Let  $\varphi_j(t, \lambda)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be the solutions of the homogeneous equation  $[\tau - \lambda I]u = 0$ ,  $(\lambda \in \mathbb{C})$  satisfying

$$\varphi_j^{[k-1]}(t_0, \lambda) = \delta_{k,r+1} \text{ for all } t_0 \in [a, b), (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

for fixed  $t_0$ ,  $a < t_0 < b$ . Then  $\varphi_j(t, \lambda)$  is continuous in  $(t, \lambda)$  for  $0 < t < b$ ,  $|\lambda| < \infty$ , and for fixed  $t$  it is entire in  $\lambda$ . Let  $\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  denote the solutions of the adjoint homogeneous equation  $[\tau^+ - \bar{\lambda} I]v = 0$ ,  $(\lambda \in \mathbb{C})$  satisfying:

$$(\varphi_k^+)^{[r]}(t_0, \lambda) = (-1)^{k+r} \delta_{k,n-r} \text{ for all } t_0 \in [0, b),$$

$(k = 1, 2, \dots, n; r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ . Suppose  $a < c < b$ , by [3], [7-9] and [12-16], a solution of the equation

$$[\tau - \lambda I]u = wf, \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}), \quad f \in L_w^1(a, b) \quad (3.3)$$

satisfying  $u(c) = 0$  is given by

$$\varphi(t, \lambda) = \left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{i^n} \right) \sum_{j,k=1}^n \xi^{jk} \varphi_j(t, \lambda) \int_a^t \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda)} f(s) w(s) ds,$$

where  $\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda)$  stands for the complex conjugate of  $\varphi_k(t, \lambda)$  and for each  $j, k$ ,  $\xi^{jk}$  is constant which is independent of  $t, \lambda$  (but does depend in general on  $t_0$ ).

The next lemma is a form of the variation of parameters formula for a general quasi-differential equation is given by the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose  $f \in L^1_w(0, b)$  locally integrable function and  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  is the solution of the equation (3.3) satisfying:

$$\varphi^{[r]}(t_0, \lambda) = \alpha_{r+1} \text{ for } r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, t_0 \in [a, b].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, \lambda) = & \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(\lambda) \varphi_j(t, \lambda_0) + ((\lambda - \lambda_0) / i^n) \\ & \times \sum_{j,k=1}^n \xi^{jk} \varphi_j(t, \lambda_0) \int_a^t \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} f(s) w(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for some constants  $\alpha_1(\lambda), \alpha_2(\lambda), \dots, \alpha_n(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)$  and  $\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0)$ ,  $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are solutions of the equations (3.1) and (3.2), respectively,  $\xi^{jk}$  is a constant which is independent of  $t$ .

**Lemma 3.2** [13] (Gronwall's inequality). Let  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$  be two real-valued functions defined, non-negative and  $u, v \in L^1(t_0, t)$  for  $t > t_0$ , and if

$$u(t) \leq c + \int_{t_0}^t u(s)v(s)ds, \quad c > 0,$$

for some positive constant  $c$ , then

$$u(t) \leq c \exp\left(\int_0^t v(s)ds\right). \quad (3.5)$$

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose that for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  all solutions of the equations (3.1) and (3.2) are in  $L^2_w(a, b)$ . Then all solutions of the equations in (1.2) are in  $L^2_w(a, b)$  for every complex number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that in [8, Lemma 3.5].

**Lemma 3.4.** If all solutions of the equation  $[\tau - \lambda_0 w]u = 0$  are bounded on  $[a, b]$  and  $\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0) \in L^1_w(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Then all solutions

of the equation  $[\tau - \lambda w]u = 0$  are also bounded on  $[a, b)$  for every complex number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose that for some complex number  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  all solutions of the equation (3.1) are in  $L_w^p(a, b)$  and all solutions of (3.2) are in  $L_w^q(a, b)$ . Suppose  $f \in L_w^p(a, b)$ , then all solutions of the equation (3.3) are in  $L_w^p(a, b)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{\varphi_1(t, \lambda_0), \dots, \varphi_n(t, \lambda_0)\}, \{\varphi_1^+(s, \lambda_0), \dots, \varphi_n^+(s, \lambda_0)\}$  be two sets of linearly independent solutions of the equations (3.1) and (3.2), respectively. Then for any solutions  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  of the equation  $[\tau - \lambda I]\varphi = wf$ , ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ) which may be written as follows

$$[\tau - \lambda_0 w]\varphi = (\lambda - \lambda_0)w\varphi + wf$$

and it follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, \lambda) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(\lambda) \varphi_j(t, \lambda_0) + \frac{1}{i^n} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \xi^{jk} \varphi_j(t, \lambda_0) \\ &\quad \times \int_a^t \overline{\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0)} [(\lambda - \lambda_0)\varphi(s, \lambda) + f(s)] w(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for some constants  $\alpha_1(\lambda), \alpha_2(\lambda), \dots, \alpha_n(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t, \lambda)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (|\alpha_j(\lambda)| |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|) + \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| \\ &\quad \times \int_a^t \overline{\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0)} [|\lambda - \lambda_0| |\varphi(s, \lambda)| + |f(s)|] w(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since  $f \in L_w^p(a, b)$  and  $\varphi_k^+(\cdot, \lambda_0) \in L_w^q(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\varphi_k^+(\cdot, \lambda_0) f \in L_w^1(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Setting

$$C_j(\lambda) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| \left| \int_a^t \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} |f(s)| w(s) ds \right|, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (3.8)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(t, \lambda)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (|\alpha_j(\lambda)| + C_j(\lambda)) |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| + |\lambda - \lambda_0| \\
&\quad \times \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| \int_a^t \overline{|\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)|} |\varphi(s, \lambda)| w(s) ds. \quad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

On application of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to the integral in (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(t, \lambda)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (|\alpha_j(\lambda)| + C_j(\lambda)) |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| \\
&\quad + |\lambda - \lambda_0| \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_a^t \overline{|\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0)|}^q w(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_a^t |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^p w(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

From the inequality  $(u + v)^p \leq 2^{(p-1)}(u^p + v^p)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(t, \lambda)|^p &\leq 2^{2(p-1)} \sum_{j=1}^n (|\alpha_j(\lambda)| + C_j(\lambda))^p |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|^p \\
&\quad + 2^{2(p-1)} |\lambda - \lambda_0|^p \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}|^p |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|^p \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_a^t \overline{|\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0)|}^q w(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \left( \int_a^t |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^p w(s) ds \right). \quad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis there exist positive constant  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  such that

$$\|\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)\|_{L_w^p(a, b)} \leq K_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \overline{|\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)|} \right\|_{L_w^q(a, b)} \leq K_1, \quad (3.12)$$

$j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi(t, \lambda)|^p &\leq 2^{2(p-1)} \sum_{j=1}^n (|\alpha_j(\lambda)| + C_j(\lambda))^p |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|^p \\
&\quad + 2^{2(p-1)} K_1^p |\lambda - \lambda_0|^p \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}|^p |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|^p
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left( \int_a^t |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^p w(s) ds \right). \quad (3.13)$$

Integrating the inequality in (3.13) between  $a$  and  $t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^p w(s) ds &\leq K_2 + \left( 2^{2(p-1)} |\lambda - \lambda_0|^p \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}|^p \right) \\ &\times \int_a^t |\varphi_j(s, \lambda_0)|^p \left( \int_a^s |\varphi(x, \lambda)|^p w(x) dx \right) w(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$K_2 = 2^{2(p-1)} K_0^p \sum_{j=1}^n (|\alpha_j(\lambda)| + C_j(\lambda))^p. \quad (3.15)$$

Now, on using Gronwall's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^p w(s) ds &\leq K_2 \\ &\exp \left( 2^{2(p-1)} K_1^p |\lambda - \lambda_0|^p \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}|^p \int_a^t |\varphi_j(s, \lambda_0)|^p w(s) ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Since,  $\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0) \in L_w^p(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $\varphi(t, \lambda) \in L_w^p(0, b)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Remark.** Lemma 3.5 also holds if the function  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b)$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $f \in L_w^p(0, b)$ . Suppose for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ :

- (i) All solutions of  $(\tau^+ - \bar{\lambda}I)\varphi^+ = 0$  are in  $L_w^q(a, b)$ .
- (ii)  $\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  are bounded on  $[a, b)$ .

Then all solutions  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  of the equation (3.3) are in  $L_w^p(a, b)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

#### 4. $L_w^p$ -boundedness

In this section, we shall consider the question of determining conditions under which all solutions of the equation (1.1) are in  $L_w^p(a, b) \cap L^\infty(a, b)$ .

Suppose there exist non-negative continuous functions  $k(t)$  and  $h(t)$  on  $[a, b)$ ,  $a < b \leq \infty$  such that the function  $F(t, y)$  in (1.1) satisfies:

$$|F(t, y)| \leq k(t) + h(t)|y(t)|^\sigma \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, -\infty < y(t) < \infty, \quad (4.1)$$

for some  $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ ; see [1, 8] and [18-19].

In the sequel, we shall require the following nonlinear integral inequality which generalizes those integral inequalities used in [1], [7-9], and [13-18].

**Lemma 4.1** (cf. [8, 17]). *Let  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$  be two non-negative functions, locally integrable on the interval  $I = [a, b)$ . Then the inequality*

$$u(t) \leq c + \int_0^t v(s)u^\sigma(s)dx, \quad c > 0,$$

for  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ , implies that

$$u(t) \leq \left( c^{(1-\sigma)} + (1-\sigma) \int_0^t v(s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{(1-\sigma)}}. \quad (4.2)$$

In particular, if  $v(s) \in L^1(a, b)$ , then (4.2) implies that  $u(t)$  is bounded.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose that  $F$  satisfies (4.1) with  $\sigma = 1$ , and that*

- (i)  $S(\tau) \cup S(\tau^+) \subset L^\infty(0, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $k(t)$  and  $h(t) \in L_w^1(0, b)$  for all  $t \in [a, b)$ .

Then all solutions  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  of the equation (1.1) are bounded on  $[a, b)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** Note that (4.1) and Lemma 3.6 implies that all solutions are defined on

$[a, b)$ ; see [2, Chapter 3], [7-9] and [13] and let  $\{\varphi_1(t, \lambda_0), \varphi_2(t, \lambda_0), \dots, \varphi_n(t, \lambda_0)\}$ ,  $\{\varphi_1^+(s, \lambda_0), \varphi_2^+(s, \lambda_0), \dots, \varphi_n^+(s, \lambda_0)\}$  be two sets of linearly independent solutions of the equations (3.1) and (3.2), respectively, and let  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  be any solution of (1.1) on  $[a, b)$ , then by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, \lambda) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(\lambda) \varphi_j(t, \lambda_0) + \frac{1}{i^n} (\lambda - \lambda_0) \sum_{j,k=1}^n \xi^{jk} \varphi_j(t, \lambda_0) \\ &\quad \times \int_a^t \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} F(s, y) w(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t, \lambda)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j(\lambda)| |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| + |\lambda - \lambda_0| \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| \\ &\quad \times \int_a^t \left| \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} \right| (k(s) + h(s) |\varphi_j(s, \lambda)|) w(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Since  $k(s) \in L_w^1(a, b)$  and  $\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0) \in L_w^\infty(a, b)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0) k(s) \in L_w^1(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Setting

$$C_j = |\lambda - \lambda_0| \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| \int_a^t \left| \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} \right| k(s) w(s) ds, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (4.4)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t, \lambda)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (C_j + |\alpha_j(\lambda)|) |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| \\ &\quad + |\lambda - \lambda_0| \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t \left| \overline{\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0)} \right| h(s) |\varphi(s, y)| w(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

By hypothesis, there exist positive constants  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  such that

$$|\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| \leq K_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \overline{\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0)} \right| \leq K_1 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, b),$$

$j, k = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t, \lambda_0)| &\leq K_0 \sum_{j=1}^n (C_j + |\alpha_j(\lambda)|) + K_0 K_1 |\lambda - \lambda_0| \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| \int_0^t |h(s)| |\varphi(s, \lambda)| w(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to (4.6) and using (ii), we deduce that  $|\varphi(t, \lambda)|$  is finite and hence the result.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose that  $F$  satisfies (4.1) with  $\sigma = 1$ , and that*

- (i)  $S(\tau) \cup S(\tau^+) \subset L_w^\infty(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $k(t)$  and  $h(t) \in L_w^q(a, b)$  for all  $t \in [a, b)$ .

Then all solutions  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  of the equation (1.1) are in  $L_w^p(a, b)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** The proof follows on applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality for the integral in (4.5) as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^t \left| \overline{\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0)} \right| |h(s)| |\varphi(s, \lambda)| w(s) ds \\ &\leq \left( \int_a^t \left| \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} \right|^q |h(s)|^q w(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_a^t |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^p w(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

and hence the result. We refer to [1] and [16] for more details.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Suppose that  $|F(t, y)| = h(t)|y(t)|$ ,  $S(\tau) \subset L_w^p(a, b)$ ,  $S(\tau^+) \subset L_w^q(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $h(t) \in L_w^p(a, b)$  for some  $p \geq 2$ ,  $t \in [a, b)$ . Then all solutions  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  of the equation (1.1) are in  $L_w^p(a, b)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

**Corollary 4.5.** *Suppose that for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $S(\tau) \subset L_w^p(0, b)$ ,  $S(\tau^+) \subset L_w^q(a, b)$  and  $k(t) \in L_w^p(a, b)$ . Then all solutions of the equations  $[\tau - \lambda w]\varphi = wk$  are in  $L_w^p(a, b)$  for every complex number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

Next, for considering (4.1) with  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ , we have the following.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Suppose that  $F(t, y)$  satisfies (4.1) with  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ ,  $S(\tau) \cup S(\tau^+) \subset L_w^\alpha(a, b)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and that*

- (i)  $k(t) \in L_w^\alpha(a, b)$  for all  $t \in [a, b)$ ,
- (ii)  $h(t) \in L_w^{\alpha/(\alpha-1-\sigma)}(a, b)$  for all  $t \in [a, b)$ .

Then all solutions  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  of the equation (1.1) are in  $L_w^\alpha(a, b)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , the proof is the same up to (1.1). In this case (4.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t, \lambda)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (C_j + |\alpha_j(\lambda)|) |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| + |\lambda - \lambda_0| \\ &\times \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| \int_a^t \left| \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} \right| |h(s)| |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^\sigma w(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to the integral in (4.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \left| \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} \right| |h(s)| |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^\sigma w(s) ds, \\ &\leq \left( \int_a^t \left| \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} \right|^\mu |h(s)|^\mu w(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \left( \int_a^t |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^\alpha w(s) ds \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where  $\mu = \alpha/(\alpha - \sigma)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ . Since  $\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0) \in L_w^\alpha(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $h(s) \in L_w^{\alpha/(\alpha-1-\sigma)}(a, b)$  by hypothesis, then we have  $\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0 |h(t)|) \in L_w^\mu(a, b)$ , for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Using this fact and (4.9), we obtain

$$|\varphi(t, \lambda)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n (C_j + |\alpha_j(\lambda)|) |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)| + K_0 |\lambda - \lambda_0|$$

$$\times \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| \|\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)\| \left( \int_a^t |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^\alpha w(s) ds \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\alpha}}, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $K_0 = \|\varphi_k^\dagger(t, \lambda_0)h(t)\|_\mu$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_\mu$  denotes the norm in  $L_w^\mu(a, b)$ . The inequality

$$(u + v)^\alpha \leq 2^{(\alpha-1)}(u^\alpha + v^\alpha)$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t, \lambda)|^\alpha &\leq 2^{2(\alpha-1)} \sum_{j=1}^n (C_j^\alpha + |\alpha_j(\lambda)|^\alpha) |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|^\alpha + 2^{2(\alpha-1)} K_0^\alpha \\ &\times |\lambda - \lambda_0|^\alpha \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}|^\alpha |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|^\alpha \left( \int_a^t |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^\alpha w(s) ds \right)^\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Setting  $K_1 = \int_a^t |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|^\alpha w(s) ds$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and integrating (4.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |\varphi(t, \lambda)|^\alpha w(s) ds &\leq K_2 + 2^{2(\alpha-1)} K_0^\alpha |\lambda - \lambda_0|^\alpha \\ &\times \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}|^\alpha \int_a^t |\varphi_j(s, \lambda_0)|^\alpha \left[ \left( \int_a^s |\varphi(x, \lambda)|^\alpha w(x) dx \right)^\sigma \right] w(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where  $K_2 = 2^{2(\alpha-1)} \sum_{j=1}^n (C_j^\alpha + |\alpha_j(\lambda)|^\alpha) K_1$ .

An application of Lemma (4.1) for  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$  and of Gronwall's inequality to (4.12) for  $\sigma = 1$ , yields the result.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Suppose that  $F$  satisfies (4.1) with  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ ,  $S(\tau) \cup S(\tau^+) \subset L_w^\alpha(0, b) \cap L^\infty(a, b)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and that*

- (i)  $k(t) \in L_w^\alpha(a, b)$  for all  $t \in [a, b)$ ,
- (ii)  $h(t) \in L_w^p(a, b)$  for some  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2/(1 - \sigma)$ .

Then all solutions  $\varphi(t, \lambda)$  of the equation (1.1) are in  $L_w^\alpha(a, b) \cap L^\infty(a, b)$  for all

$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $S(\tau) \cup S(\tau^+) \subset L_w^\alpha(a, b) \cap L^\infty(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\varphi_j(s, \lambda_0) \in L_w^p(a, b)$  and  $\varphi_k^+(t, \lambda_0) \in L_w^q(a, b)$ ,  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$  for every  $p, q \geq 2$  and for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

First, suppose that  $h(t) \in L_w^p(a, b)$  for some  $p, 1 \leq p \leq 2$ . Setting

$$K_0 = \|\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)\|_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad K_1 = \left\| \overline{\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)} \right\|_\infty, \quad j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (4.13)$$

we have from (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t, \lambda)| &\leq K_0 \sum_{j=1}^n (C_j + |\alpha_j(\lambda)|) + K_0 K_1 |\lambda - \lambda_0| \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j,k=1}^n |\xi^{jk}| \left| \int_a^t h(s) |\varphi(s, \lambda)|^\sigma w(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h(t) \in L_w^p(a, b)$  for some  $p, 1 \leq p \leq 2$ , then Lemma 4.1 together with Gronwall's inequality implies that  $\varphi(t, \lambda) \in L^\infty(a, b)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., there exists a positive constant  $K_2$  such that

$$|\varphi(t, \lambda)| \leq K_2 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, t \in [a, b]. \quad (4.14)$$

From (4.8) and (4.14), we obtain

$$|\varphi(t, \lambda)| \leq K_0 \sum_{j=1}^n (C_j + |\alpha_j(\lambda)| + K_3) |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|$$

for an appropriate constant  $K_3$ . Since  $\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0) \in L_w^2(a, b)$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , this proves  $\varphi(t, \lambda) \in L_w^p(a, b)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq p \leq 2$ .

Next, suppose that  $h(t) \in L_w^p(a, b)$  for some  $p, 2 < p \leq 2/(1 - \sigma)$ . Define  $q \geq 2$  by

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha - \sigma}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{p}$$

(which is possible because of the restriction on  $q$ ).

Thus  $\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0) \in L_w^q(a, b)$  and  $\varphi_k^+(s, \lambda_0)h(t) \in L_w^\mu(a, b)$ ,  
 $\mu = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - \sigma}$ ,  $\alpha \geq 2$ ;  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ . Repeating the same argument from (4.8) to  
 (4.12) in the proof of Theorem 4.6, we obtain that  $\varphi(t, \lambda) \in L_w^\alpha(a, b)$ . Returning to  
 (4.9), we find that the integral on the left-hand side is bounded, which implies by  
 (4.8) that

$$|\varphi(t, \lambda)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n (C_j + |\alpha_j(\lambda)| + K_3) |\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0)|$$

for an appropriate constant  $K_3$ . Since  $\varphi_j(t, \lambda_0) \in L^\infty(a, b)$ , this completes the  
 proof. We refer to [1], [7-9] and [17, 19] for more details.

### Acknowledgement

I am grateful to the PAAET and KFAS in Kuwait for supporting the scientific  
 researches and encouragement to the researchers.

### References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, A note on Grace and Lalli, s paper, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 86 (1982), 471-475.
- [2] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, Spectral Theory and Differential Operators, Oxford University Press, 1987.
- [3] W. N. Everitt, The number of integrable-square solutions of products of differential expressions, Proc. Royal Soc. of Edinburgh 76A (1977), 215-226.
- [4] W. N. Everitt and D. Race, Some remarks on linear ordinary quasi-differential expressions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 54(3) (1987), 300-320.
- [5] H. Frenzen, Quasi-differential operators in  $L^p$  spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31(3) (1999).
- [6] S. R. Grace and B. S. Lalli, Asymptotic behavior of certain second order integro-differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980), 84-90.

- [7] S. E. Ibrahim, Boundedness for solutions of general ordinary quasi-differential equations, *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.* 2 (1994), 33-44.
- [8] S. E. Ibrahim, On  $L_w^2$ -quasi derivatives for solutions of perturbed general quasi-differential equations, *Czech. Math. J.* 49(124) (1999), 877-890.
- [9] S. E. Ibrahim, On the boundedness of solutions for products of quasi-integro differential equations, *IJMMS* 10(16) (2003), 639-659.
- [10] S. E. Ibrahim, On the boundary conditions for products of general quasi-differential operators in direct sum spaces, *IJAM* 22(1) (2009), 55-87.
- [11] S. E. Ibrahim, On the product of self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville differential operators in direct sum spaces, *J. Inform. Math. Sci.* 1 (2012), 93-109.
- [12] S. E. Ibrahim, The regularly solvable operators in  $L^p$  spaces, *Fundamental J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2(1) (2015), 1-28.
- [13] B. S. Lalli, On boundedness of solutions of certain second-order differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 25 (1969), 182-188.
- [14] J. S. W. Wong, Square integrable solutions of perturbed linear differential equations, *Proc. Royal Soc. of Edinburgh* 73A (1974/75), 251-254.
- [15] E. H. Yang, On asymptotic behavior of certain second order integro-differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 90 (1984), 271-276.
- [16] E. H. Yang, Asymptotic behavior of certain second order integro-differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 106 (1985), 132-139.
- [17] A. Zettl, Square integrable solutions of  $Ly = f(t, y)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26 (1970), 635-639.
- [18] A. Zettl, Perturbation of the limit circle case, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 26(3) (1975), 355- 360.
- [19] A. Zettl, The limit point and limit circle cases for polynomials in differential expressions, *Proc. Royal Soc. of Edinburgh* 72A (1975), 219-224.
- [20] A. Zettl, Formally self-adjoint quasi-differential operators, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 5 (1975), 453-474.