Fundamental Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences p-ISSN: 2395-7573; e-ISSN: 2395-7581 Volume 13, Issue 1, 2020, Pages 15-22 This paper is available online at http://www.frdint.com/ Published online February 15, 2020

HIGHER-ORDER BANACH CONTRACTION MAPPING THEOREM IN η**-CONE METRIC SPACE**

CLEMENT BOATENG AMPADU

31 Carrolton Road Boston, MA 02132-6303 USA e-mail: drampadu@hotmail.com

Abstract

The concept of η-cone metric space appeared in [1]. In the present paper, we prove the higher-order Banach contraction mapping theorem [2] in this setting.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Definition 1.1 (Huang and Zhang [3])**.** Let *E* be a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and *P* be a subset of *E*. *P* is called a cone if and only if

(a) *P* is closed, nonempty, and $P \neq {\theta}$, where θ is the zero vector in *E*;

(b) For any nonnegative real numbers *a* and *b*, and $x, y \in P$, we have $ax + by$

∈ *P*;

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54E35, 54E35.

Received November 21, 2017; Accepted October 25, 2019

© 2020 Fundamental Research and Development International

Keywords and phrases: cone metric space, higher-order, Banach contraction, normal constant.

(c) for $x \in P$, if $-x \in P$, then $x = \theta$.

Definition 1.2 (Huang and Zhang [3])**.** Given a cone *P* in a Banach space *E*, we define on *E* a partial order \leq with respect to *P* by

$$
x \preceq y \iff y - x \in \text{int}(P).
$$

We shall write $x \prec y$ whenever $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ will stand for *y* − *x* ∈ Int(*P*), where Int(*P*) designates the interior of *P*.

Definition 1.3 (Huang and Zhang [3])**.** The cone *P* is said to be normal if there is a real number $C > 0$, such that for all $x, y \in E$, we have

$$
0 \le x \le y \Rightarrow ||x|| \le C||y||.
$$

The least positive number satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of *P*. In particular, we will say that *P* is a *K*-normal cone to indicate the fact that the normal constant is *K*.

Definition 1.4 (Huang and Zhang [3])**.** The cone *P* is said to be regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent, that is, if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that

$$
x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq \cdots \preceq x_n \preceq \cdots y
$$

for some $y \in E$, then there exists $x^* \in E$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - x^*|| = 0$.

Definition 1.5 (Deimling [4]). The cone *P* is said to be minihedral if sup $\{x, y\}$ exists for all $x, y \in E$, and strongly minihedral if every subset of *E* which is bounded from above has a supremum and hence any subset which is bounded from below has an infimum.

Remark 1.6. In this paper, we assume that the cone *P* is normal with constant *K* and *P* is such that int(*P*) \neq 0, and \leq is a partial ordering with respect to *P*. Hence the Banach space *E* and the cone *P* will be omitted, and the Banach space *E* will be assumed to be ordered with the order induced by the cone *P*.

Definition 1.7 (Gaba [1]). Let *X* be a nonempty set and $\eta : X \times X \mapsto [1, \infty)$ be a map. A function $d_{\eta}: X \times X \mapsto E$ will be called a η -cone metric space on *X* if

\n- (a)
$$
\theta \le d_{\eta}(x, y)
$$
 for all $x \in X$ and $d_{\eta}(x, y) = \theta$ iff $x = y$;
\n- (b) $d_{\eta}(x, y) = d_{\eta}(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
\n- (c) $d_{\eta}(x, z) \leq \eta(x, z) [d_{\eta}(x, y) + d_{\eta}(y, z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.
\n

Moreover, the pair (X, d_{η}) is called an η -cone metric space.

Remark 1.8 (Gaba [1]). If for all $x, y \in X$

(a) $\eta(x, y) = 1$, then we obtain the definition of cone metric space (Huang and Zhang [3]).

(b) $\eta(x, y) = L$, where $L \ge 1$, then we obtain the definition of cone metric type space (Cvetkovic et al. [6]).

(c) $\eta(x, y) = C$, where $C \ge 1$, $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $P = [0, \infty)$, then we obtain the definition of metric type space (Khamsi [7]).

Example 1.9 (Gaba [1]). Let $X = C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ be the space of all continuous real valued functions defined on the interval [a, b], $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $P = \mathbb{R}^+$, then (X, d_{η}) is an η -cone metric space with

$$
d_{\eta}(x, y) = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t) - y(t)|^2
$$

and

$$
\eta(x, y) = |x(t)| + |y(t)| + 2.
$$

Definition 1.10 (Gaba [1])**.** We say the following in an η-cone metric space (X, d_{η}) :

(a) $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to $x \in X$ if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_\eta(x_n, x) \ll c$;

(b) $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_{\eta}(x_n, x_m) \ll c;$

(c) *X* is complete if every Cauchy sequence in *X* converges to an element of *X*.

Remark 1.11. Note that an η-cone metric is not always continuous (Example 4.1 of [Gaba [1]).

2. Main Result

Definition 2.1. Let *X* be a nonempty set. By the *r*-orbit of $T: X \mapsto X$ at x_0 , we mean for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$
I(x_0, T^r) = \{x_0, T^r x_0, T^{2r} x_0, \dots\}.
$$

Definition 2.2. Let (X, d_{η}) be an η -cone metric space. A map $T : X \mapsto X$ will be called an *r*th-order Banach contraction mapping if it satisfies

$$
d_{\eta}(T^r x, T^r y) \le \sum_{q=0}^{r-1} c_q d_{\eta}(T^q x, T^q y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 \leq c_q < 1$ for all $0 \leq q \leq r - 1$, and all $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2.3. Let (X, d_{η}) be an η -cone metric space, and $T: X \mapsto X$ be *an rth-order Banach contraction mapping. For every pair* $x \neq y$, *define*

$$
Z = Z(x, y) = \max_{0 \le y \le r-1} \beta^{-v} \frac{d_{\eta}(T^{v}x, T^{v}y)}{d_{\eta}(x, y)}.
$$

Then

$$
Z = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \beta^{-n} \, \frac{d_{\eta}(T^n x, T^n y)}{d_{\eta}(x, y)},
$$

where $\beta \in [0, 1)$.

Remark 2.4. If in addition to Remark 1.8(a), $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $P = [0, \infty)$, then it follows that every metric space is an η-cone metric space. Thus Proposition 4.1 of [5] implies the Proposition immediately above.

Now by [2], we have the following alternate characterization of Definition 2.2.

Definition 2.5. Let (X, d_{η}) be an η -cone metric space, a map $T: X \mapsto X$ will be called an *r*th-order Banach contraction mapping if for all $x, y \in X$ and all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the following inequality holds:

$$
d_{\eta}(T^r x, T^r y) \le Z \beta^r d_{\eta}(x, y),
$$

where $\beta \in [0, 1)$ and $Z \ge 1$ is given by Proposition 2.3.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 2.6. *Let* (X, d_{η}) *be a complete* η -*cone metric space such that* d_{η} *is continuous. Suppose* $T : X \mapsto X$ *satisfies Definition 2.5. Moreover, for any* $f(x_0 \in X, \text{ suppose that } \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \eta(x_n, x_m) < \frac{1}{Z\beta^r},$ x_n, x $\Rightarrow \infty$ $\eta(x_n, x_m) < \frac{1}{Z\beta^r}$, where $x_n, x_m \in I(x_0, T^r)$. *Then there is a unique* $w^* \in X$ *such that* $T^r w^* = w^*$ *for any* $r \in \mathbb{N}$ *. Moreover, for each* $x \in X$ *and any* $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^m x = w^*$.

Proof. Let x_0 be arbitrary and define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^m x_{n-1}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe

$$
d_{\eta}(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d_{\eta}(T^{rn}x_{n-1}, T^{r(n+1)}x_n) \leq Z\beta^r d_{\eta}(x_{n-1}, x_n),
$$

$$
d_{\eta}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = d_{\eta}(T^{r(n+1)}x_n, T^{r(n+2)}x_{n+1}) \le (Z\beta^r)^2 d_{\eta}(x_{n-1}, x_n),
$$

$$
\vdots
$$

$$
d_{\eta}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le (Z\beta^r)^n d_{\eta}(x_0, x_1)
$$

for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. Since $Z\beta^r < 1$, consequently, the sequence $\{T^{rn}x_{n-1}\}$ is Cauchy. By the completeness of *X*, there exists $w^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^m x_{n-1}$ w^* . Now we show existence of the *r*-fixed point, that is, $T^r w^* = w^*$. Observe that

$$
d_{\eta}(T^rw^*, w^*) \leq \eta(T^rw^*, w^*)[d_{\eta}(T^rw^*, x_n) + d_{\eta}(x_n, w^*)]
$$

$$
\leq \eta(T^rw^*, w^*)[d_{\eta}(T^rw^*, T^mx_{n-1}) + d_{\eta}(x_n, w^*)]
$$

$$
\leq \eta(T^rw^*, w^*)[Z\beta^r d_{\eta}(w^*, x_{n-1}) + d_{\eta}(x_n, w^*)].
$$

Now taking norm to inequality in the above, and then taking limits as $n \to \infty$, we deduce that

$$
||d_{\eta}(T^r w^*, w^*)|| = 0
$$

which implies $T^r w^* = w^*$. For uniqueness, suppose $T^r u^* = u^*$, but $u^* \neq w^*$, then we have

$$
d_\eta(u^*,\,w^*)=d_\eta(T^ru^*,\,T^rw^*)\le Z\beta^r d_\eta(u^*,\,w^*)
$$

which implies

$$
(1 - Z\beta^r) d_{\eta}(u^*, w^*) \le 0
$$

but *d*_η ≥ 0 and $Zβ^r ≠ 1$, thus *d*_η(*u*^{*}, *w*^{*}) = 0, that is, *u*^{*} = *w*^{*} and uniqueness follows, and the proof is complete.

Now we have the following in support of the main result.

Example 2.7. Let $X = [0, \infty)$, $E = \mathbb{R}$, and $P = [0, \infty)$. Let us define for all $x, y \in X$, $d_{\eta}: X \times X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta: X \times X \mapsto [1, \infty)$ as:

$$
d_{\eta}(x, y) = (x - y)^2; \eta(x, y) = x + y + 2.
$$

Then d_{η} is an η -cone metric on *X*. Moreover, (X, d_{η}) is complete. Define *r* $T^r x = \frac{x}{2}$ $=\frac{x}{2r}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $\frac{1}{3} = \beta \in [0, 1)$. $\frac{1}{2} = \beta \in [0, 1)$. Observe from the conclusion of Proposition 2.3, we have

$$
Z = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{-n} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2^n} - \frac{y}{2^n}\right)^2}{\left(x - y\right)^2}
$$

$$
= \max_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} 3^n \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \frac{\left(x - y\right)^2}{\left(x - y\right)^2}
$$

$$
= \max_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \frac{3^n}{2^{2n}}
$$

$$
= \max\{1, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{9}{16}, \frac{27}{64}, \dots\}
$$

$$
= 1.
$$

Thus for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
d_{\eta}(T^{r}x, T^{r}y) = \frac{1}{2^{2r}}(x - y)^{2} < \frac{1}{3^{r}}(x - y)^{2} = Z\beta^{r}d_{\eta}(x, y).
$$

Note that for each $x \in X$, and any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $T^{r}x = \frac{x}{r}$. 2 $T^m x = \frac{x}{2^m}$ $r \in \mathbb{N}, T^m x = \frac{x}{m}$. Thus we obtain

$$
\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\eta(x_n,\,x_m)=\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\left(\frac{x}{2^m}+\frac{x}{2^{rm}}+2\right)<3^r.
$$

It follows all the conditions of the previous theorem hold, and the unique *r*-fixed point is given by $0 \in X$.

References

- [1] Yae Ulrich Gaba, η -metric structures, arXiv:1709.07690 [math.GN].
- [2] Clement Ampadu, Generalization of higher-order contraction mapping theorem, Unpublished.
- [3] L.-G. Huang and X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332(2) (2007), 1468-1476.
- [4] K. Deimling, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlage, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1985.
- [5] J. Ezearn, Higher-order Lipschitz mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 88 (2015).
- [6] A. S. Cvetkovic, M. P. Stanic, S. Dimitrijevic and Suzana Simic, Common fixed point theorems for four mappings on cone metric type space, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, Article ID 589725 (2011).
- [7] M. A. Khamsi, Remarks on cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2010), 7 pages.