## CONSEQUENCES FOR SPECIAL RELATIVITY THEORY OF RESTORING EINSTEIN'S NEGLECTED ADDITIVE CONSTANTS IN THE LORENTZ TRANSFORMATION

## J. H. FIELD

Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire Université de Genève 24, quai Ernest-Ansermet CH-1211Genève 4 Switzerland e-mail: john.field@cern.ch

## **Abstract**

Evaluation of the additive constants in the space-time Lorentz transformation equations required, according to Einstein, to correctly describe synchronised clocks at different spatial locations, reveals the spurious and unphysical nature of the 'relativity of simultaneity' and 'length contraction' effects of conventional special relativity. Unlike time dilation, there is no experimental evidence for these putative effects. Only a universal (position independent) time dilation effect for different inertial frames distinguishes special relativity from Galilean relativity.

In Einstein's seminal 1905 paper on special relativity [1] can be found, just after the derivation of the space-time Lorentz transformation equations, the following statement:

'If no assumption whatever be made as to the initial position of the moving system and as to the zero point of  $\tau$ , an additive constant is to be placed on the right side of these equations'.

Keywords and phrases: special relativity, time dilation, length contraction, relativity of simultaneity.

Received July 4, 2011; Revised December 16, 2011

© 2011 Fundamental Research and Development International

J. H. FIELD

This assertion is shown here to be of crucial importance for the correct understanding of the predictions of special relativity. The quantity  $\tau$  above is the recorded time (epoch) of a clock in uniform motion relative to Einstein's 'stationary frame'.

Considering the Lorentz transformation between events in two inertial frames S, S', (where S' moves with speed v along a common x, x' axis while the y, y' and z, z' axes are also chosen to be parallel) Einstein's assertion, quoted above, requires that, in general, the Lorentz transformation equations relating an event with coordinates (x, y, z, t) in S to the corresponding ones (x', y', z', t') in S' must be written as:

$$x' = \gamma [x - vt] + X, \tag{1}$$

$$t' = \gamma \left[ t - \frac{vx}{c^2} \right] + T, \tag{2}$$

$$y' = y + Y, (3)$$

$$z' = z + Z, (4)$$

where  $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}$ , and c is the speed of light in free space. The constants Y

and Z depend on the choice of the y, y' and z, z' coordinate systems, respectively, while X and T depend both on the choice of x, x' coordinate systems and the synchronization constants of the clocks at rest in S and S' that register the epochs t and t', respectively. What is the operational physical meaning of the space- and time-coordinate symbols in equations (1)-(4)? To again quote Einstein [2]:

'A *priori* it is quite clear that we must be able to learn something about the physical behaviour of measuring rods and clocks from the equations of transformation, for the magnitudes x, y, z, t are nothing more nor less than results of measurements obtainable by means of measuring rods and clocks.'

Consider, then, a clock C' at rest on the x' axis at a fixed position  $x'(C') = \chi$ ,

that registers the epoch t'. The epoch t in (1) and (2) is that registered by a second clock C at rest at an arbitrary position in the frame S. Suppose that, at some given instant in both S and S', C and C' are synchronised so that  $t = \tau$  and  $t' = \tau'$ . This

can conveniently be done by performing the synchronisation at the instant when C and C' are aligned in x [1]. Any values of the synchronisation constants  $\tau'$  and  $\tau$ , the clock epochs that are set at the instant of synchronization, can be chosen without affecting any physical predictions. Also, without any loss of generality for physical predictions, in general, the arbitrary spatial coordinate system in S can be chosen so that, at the epoch  $t = \tau$ ,  $x(C') = \chi$ . This corresponds to a particular choice of the position of the origin of the x-coordinate system in S relative to the position of C' at  $t = \tau$ . With this set of initial conditions, the constants X and T are given by the equations:

$$X = \chi - \gamma(\chi - c\tau),\tag{5}$$

$$T = \tau' - \gamma \left(\tau - \frac{v\chi}{c^2}\right). \tag{6}$$

Substituting these values of the constants into (1) and (2) and rearranging gives:

$$x'(C') - \chi = \gamma [x(C') - \chi - v(t - \tau)] = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$t' - \tau' = \gamma \left[ t - \tau - \frac{v(x(C') - \chi)}{c^2} \right]. \tag{8}$$

It can be seen that the physical content of the space transformation equation (7) is just a statement of the equations of motion (world lines) of the clock C' in the frames S' and S as specified by the initial conditions:

$$x'(C') = \chi, \qquad x(C') = \chi + \nu(t - \tau) \tag{9}$$

which are the same as in Galilean relativity, given by the  $c \to \infty$ ,  $\gamma \to 1$  limit of (7) and (8).

Using the second member of (7) to eliminate  $x(C') - \chi$  from (8), and the definition of  $\gamma$ , gives the time dilation relation that connects corresponding time intervals in the frames S and S':

$$t - \tau = \gamma(t' - \tau'). \tag{10}$$

Notice the important point that this equation contains no spatial coordinates; in particular it is independent of the position of C' in the frame S' specified by the parameter  $\chi$ . Equations (9) and (10) have exactly the same physical content as the

J. H. FIELD

Lorentz transformation equations (7) and (8). Since the space transformation equations in (9) are the same as in Galilean relativity, the only change in passing from Galilean to special relativity is to replace Newtonian absolute time t = t' by different corresponding time intervals in the frames S and S' that are related by the time dilation relation (10). Since no spatial coordinates appear in (10), two clocks  $C'_1$ ,  $C'_2$  at arbitrary positions in S', that are synchronised with C at the common epoch  $t = \tau$  so that  $t'_1 = t'_2 = \tau'$ , remain so at all times:

$$t - \tau = \gamma(t_1' - \tau') = \gamma(t_2' - \tau') \tag{11}$$

so that

$$t_1' = t_2'$$
 (for all values of t). (12)

There is therefore no 'relativity of simultaneity' effect for such clocks when they are observed from the frame S. It is shown in equation (11) that identical clocks, at arbitrary positions in S', all run slow by the same factor  $1/\gamma$  when observed from the frame S.

A possible synchronisation procedure is the following: The distance between  $C_1'$  and  $C_2'$  is adjusted so that  $C_1'$  ( $C_2'$ ) arrive simultaneously at  $x = \chi_1$  ( $x = \chi_2$ ). This can obviously always be done, regardless of whether a putative 'length contraction' effect exists or not. The clocks  $C_1'$  and  $C_2'$  are initially stopped and set to epoch  $\tau$ . A third clock, at rest in S, is initially stopped, set to epoch  $\tau$ , and placed at  $x = \chi_1$ . The clocks  $C_1'$  and  $C_2'$  are then set in uniform motion, and at the instant when  $C_1'$  is at  $x = \chi_1$  and  $C_2'$  is at  $x = \chi_2$ , mechanical switches or electronic signals start all three clocks. At any subsequent instant equations (11) and (12) are satisfied.

If the clocks  $C_1'$  and  $C_2'$  are positioned at  $x_1' = \chi_1$  and  $x_2' = \chi_2$ , respectively, the world lines of the clocks in S' and S, with the same choice of coordinate systems in S and S' as in equation (9) are

$$x_1' = \chi_1, \qquad x_1 = \chi_1 + \nu(t - \tau),$$
 (13)

$$x_2' = \chi_2, \qquad x_2 = \chi_2 + \nu(t - \tau).$$
 (14)

It follows from (13) and (14) that

$$x_2' - x_1' \equiv d' = x_2 - x_1 \equiv d = \chi_2 - \chi_1 \text{ (for all values of } t\text{)}.$$
 (15)

There is therefore no relativistic 'length contraction' effect.

The universal time dilation effect for synchronised clocks at different spatial positions was exemplified in a CERN experiment in which muons were created almost simultaneously by pion decay at different positions around a storage ring [3]. The S' frame time, t', in this experiment correspond to the previously measured rest frame muon mean lifetime, while the S frame time, t, was measured by a precise clock ('digitron') in the experimental apparatus. In this experiment the time dilation effect of equation (11) was verified with a relative precision of 0.1% for  $\gamma = 29.3$ .

The spurious 'relativity of simultaneity' and 'length contraction' effects of conventional special relativity arise when, following Einstein in the original special relativity paper [1], Lorentz transformations are employed without due regard for the correct choice of the constants X and T in equations (1) and (2) to correctly describe synchronised clocks at rest at different positions in the frame S'. The Lorentz transformation, as written down by Lorentz and derived by Einstein, has  $\chi = X = 0$  and  $T = \tau = \tau' = 0$  so that the clock C', placed at the origin of S', is synchronised so that t = t' = 0 when x(C') = 0. The mistake made by Einstein (in spite of the passage from [1] quoted above) and to the present author's best knowledge, by all subsequent authors, before the work presented in [4], was to assume that the same equation with X = 0, T = 0 is also valid to describe a synchronised clock with  $x' \neq 0$ , for example, with  $x' = \chi$ . Thus if a synchronised clock at x' is denoted by C'(x'), it is assumed that the same equations that correctly describe such a synchronised clock (with  $\tau = \tau' = 0$ ) at x' = 0 (i.e., equations (7) and (8) with  $\tau = 0$ ,  $\tau = 0$ ):

$$x'(C'(0)) = \gamma[x(C'(0)) - vt] = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$t'(C'(0)) = \gamma \left[ t - \frac{vx(C'(0))}{c^2} \right], \tag{17}$$

also describe such a clock with  $x' = \gamma$ :

$$x'(C'(\chi)) = \gamma[x(C'(\chi)) - vt], \tag{18}$$

$$t'(C'(\chi)) = \gamma \left[ t - \frac{vx(C'(\chi))}{c^2} \right]. \tag{19}$$

J. H. FIELD

Subtracting (16) from (18) gives:

$$\chi = x'(C'(\chi)) - x'(C'(0)) \equiv d' = \gamma [x(C'(\chi)) - x(C'(0))] \equiv \gamma d$$
 (20)

which is the 'length contraction' effect . Subtracting (17) from (19) and using (20) gives

$$t'(C'(\chi)) - t'(C'(0)) = -\frac{v\chi}{c^2}$$
 (21)

which is a 'relativity of simultaneity' effect since the unique epoch t in S corresponds to different epochs t'(C'(0)) and  $t'(C'(\chi))$  registered by the clocks C'(0) and  $C'(\chi)$  in S'. These two correlated 'effects' are both spurious since the clock  $C'(\chi)$ , described by (18) and (19) is not synchronised with C'(0) described by (16) and (17). The correct transformation equations to describe a synchronised clock at  $x' = \chi$  with  $\tau = \tau' = 0$  are, from (7) and (8):

$$x'(C'(\chi)) - \chi = \gamma[x(C'(\chi)) - \chi - vt] = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$t'(C'(\chi)) = \gamma \left\{ t - \frac{v[x(C'(\chi)) - \chi]}{c^2} \right\}$$
 (23)

(16) and (22) give, for all values of t,

$$\chi = x'(C'(\chi)) - x'(C'(0)) \equiv d' = x(C'(\chi)) - x(C'(0)) \equiv d$$
 (24)

consistent with the general relation (15), while combining (16) with (17) and (22) with (23), as in the derivation of (10) from (7) and (8), gives the time dilation relations:

$$t = \gamma t'(C'(0)) = \gamma t'(C'(\chi)) \tag{25}$$

consistent with (11) above, and showing no 'relativity of simultaneity' effect, in contradiction to (21). Comparing (18) and (19) with the general transformation (7) and (8) for a clock at  $x' = \chi$ , with synchronisation constants  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$ , it can be seen that the synchronization constants for the clocks C and C'( $\chi$ ) take, in (18) and (19), the  $\chi$ -dependent values

$$\tau(\chi) = -\tau'(\chi) = \frac{\chi}{\nu} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \tag{26}$$

instead of  $\tau = \tau' = 0$  in (22) and (23). The clock  $C'(\chi)$ , as described by (18) and

(19), is therefore synchronised neither with C nor with C'(0). Clock synchronisation is, however, a purely mechanical or electronic procedure, fully under the control of the experimenter, with no relevance to the physics of space-time.

The above arguments show that all text books treating special relativity should be corrected and hundreds of papers on special relativity in the pedagogical literature should be re-evalued.

At the time of this writing, although there is ample experimental verification of time dilation, or, equivalently, the relativistic transverse Doppler effect, none at all exists for 'relativity of simultaneity' or 'length contraction' [4]. Experiments using satellites in low Earth orbit, or GPS satellites, sensitive to the existence of an  $O(\beta)$  'relativity of simultaneity' effect, have recently been proposed by the present author [5].

## References

- [1] A. Einstein, Annalen der Physik 17 (1905), 891, English translation by W. Perrett and G. B. Jeffery, The Principle of Relativity, Dover, New York, 1952, pp. 37-65, or in: Einstein's Miraculous Year, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1998, pp. 123-159.
- [2] A. Einstein, Relativity, the Special and the General Theory, English translation by R. W. Lawson, Methuen, London, 1960, Ch. XII, p. 36.
- [3] J. Bailey et al., Nature 268 (1979), 301.
- [4] J. H. Field, The local space-time Lorentz transformation: a new formulation of special relativity compatible with translational invariance, arXiv, 2007.
- [5] J. H. Field, Proposals for two satellite-borne experiments to test relativity of simultaneity in special relativity, arXiv, 2011.