# **AN ALMOST CONTRACTION MAPPING THEOREM IN METRIC SPACES WITH UNIQUE FIXED POINT**

## **CLEMENT BOATENG AMPADU**

31 Carrolton Road Boston, MA 02132-6303 USA e-mail: drampadu@hotmail.com

#### **Abstract**

Recall from Berinde  $[1]$  that if  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, a map *T* : *X*  $\mapsto$  *X* is called an almost contraction if there exists  $\delta \in [0, 1]$  and  $L \geq 0$  such that

 $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \delta d(x, y) + Ld(y, Tx)$ 

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Observe that if  $L = 0$ , then *T* is a Banach contraction, and by the Banach contraction mapping theorem, *T* has a unique fixed point. In the present paper, we show that for some fixed  $L > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , we can guarantee uniqueness of the fixed point. An example is given to illustrate the main result.

## **1. Introduction**

In Berinde [1], the following was obtained:

Keywords and phrases: almost contraction, (δ, *L*) -weak contraction, metric space, fixed point theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Received September 10, 2017; Accepted February 11, 2018

© 2019 Fundamental Research and Development International

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \mapsto X$  be an *almost contraction, that is, a mapping for which there exists a constant*  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ *and some L* ≥ 0 *such that*  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \delta d(x, y) + Ld$  (*y, Tx) for all x, y* ∈ *X*. *Then* 

 $(a) Fix(T) = \{x \in X : Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset.$ 

(*b*) *For any*  $x_0 \in X$ , the Picard sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  given by  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$  *converges to some*  $x^* \in Fix(T)$ .

(*c*) *The following estimates hold* 

$$
d(x_{n+i-1}, x^*) \le \frac{\delta^i}{1-\delta} d(x_n, x_{n-1})
$$

*for*  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ .

Observe that the above is an existence, but not a uniqueness theorem about the fixed point. In this paper, we show for some fixed  $L > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , we can guarantee uniqueness of the  $(\delta, L)$ -weak contraction if the underlying space is complete. This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the main result, and we give an example to illustrate it.

#### **2. Main Results**

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A map  $T: X \mapsto X$  will be called a  $(\delta, 1 - \delta)$ -weak contraction mapping if it is not the identity mapping and there exists  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ , the following holds

$$
d(Tx, Ty) \leq \delta d(x, y) + (1 - \delta)d(y, Tx).
$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $T : X \mapsto X$  be a  $(\delta, 1 - \delta)$ . *weak contraction mapping*. *T has a unique fixed point*, *provided* (*X* , *d* ) *is complete*.

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence defined by  $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Observe that

$$
d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})
$$

$$
\leq \delta d(x_n + x_{n+1}) + (1 - \delta) d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n)
$$
  
=  $\delta d(x_n + x_{n+1}) + (1 - \delta) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})$   
=  $\delta d(x_n + x_{n+1}).$ 

From the above, by induction, we obtain  $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le \delta^n d(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , consequently  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. By completeness of *X*,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x^*$  for some  $x^* \in X$ . We show  $x^*$  is a fixed point of *T*. Observe

$$
d(x^*, Tx^*) \le d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*)
$$
  
=  $d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(Tx_n, Tx^*)$   
 $\le d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \delta d(x_n, x^*) + (1 - \delta) d(x^*, x_{n+1}).$ 

Taking limits in the above as  $n \to \infty$ , we deduce  $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$ , that is,  $x^* = Tx^*$ . For uniqueness, suppose  $y^* = Ty^*$  but  $y^* \neq x^*$ , then observe

$$
d(x^*, y^*) = d(Tx^*, Ty^*)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \delta d(x^*, y^*) + (1 - \delta) d(y^*, Tx^*)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \delta d(x^*, y^*) + (1 - \delta) d(y^*, x^*)
$$
  
\n
$$
= d(x^*, y^*)
$$

which is impossible. So  $x^* = y^*$  and uniqueness follows.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and define  $d : X \times X \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $d(x, y) =$  $x - y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $T : X \mapsto X$  be defined by  $Tx = \frac{x+3}{4}$  for all  $x \in X$ , then all the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and  $x = 1$  is the unique fixed point. Moreover with  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ , we get the following:



**Figure 1.** The graph of  $\frac{1}{4}|x-y| \le \frac{1}{2}|x-y| + \frac{1}{2}|y - \frac{x+3}{4}|$ 2 1 2 1 4  $\frac{1}{4}|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x-y| + \frac{1}{2}|y - \frac{x+3}{4}|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ 

with left hand side being in "Coffee Tones (bottom)" and right hand side being in "Lake Colors (top)".

## **References**

 [1] V. Berinde, Approximation fixed points of weak contractions using the Picard iteration, Nonlinear Analysis Forum 9(1) (2004), 43-53.